

Remember former President Aristide? We spent a lot of money and time getting him back there. Now he is opposing the economic development of his country. Any way you look at it, all of this suggests that somebody in the White House owes the American people and this Congress an explanation. After all this money, time, and effort, what have we gotten? What is going on in Haiti and why? Will American taxpayers, and incidentally American voters, agree that this was \$3 billion well spent? Or is this whole episode another success story that was more successful for its spin than its substance in the White House? We shall see.

DOMESTIC VIOLENCE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker's announced policy of May 12, 1995, the gentlewoman from California [Ms. WOOLSEY] is recognized during morning business for 2 minutes.

Ms. WOOLSEY. Mr. Speaker, each year over 150,000 incidents of domestic violence involve a gun.

In April, a woman in the district I represent was shot to death by her husband, even though she had a restraining order against him.

Last week, a Ventura County sheriff's deputy, responding to a domestic violence call, was killed by a man with a long criminal record.

Mr. Speaker, it is time to put an end to this insanity.

That is why I am applauding President Clinton for announcing his support today for legislation, sponsored by Congressman BOB TORRICELLI, which will prohibit people convicted of a domestic violence offense from purchasing a gun.

I urge my colleagues to support this commonsense way to prevent tragedy.

It is simple: Wife-beaters, child abusers, and other domestic violence offenders should not have access to a gun. Period.

UPDATE ON THE 11TH CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT IN ILLINOIS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker's announced policy of May 12, 1995, the gentleman from Illinois [Mr. WELLER] is recognized during morning business for 5 minutes.

Mr. WELLER. Mr. Speaker, I have the privilege of representing the most diverse district in the State of Illinois. I represent part of the city of Chicago, the south suburbs, in Cook and Will Counties, and farm communities as well as cornfields. That means that I have a district not only that is very diverse, but time and time again I am looking for ways and issues and concerns that are very, very common throughout this very diverse district that I have the privilege of representing.

I have found over the last 17 months now that I have had the privilege of representing my district two of the

most common priorities that the people of the district that I represent have. Of course, they want to see a change in how Washington works but they also want to see a Congress in Washington looking out for local concerns.

I am proud that in the last 17 months we have been working to keep our commitments, to honor those principles and to change how Washington works while looking out for local concerns. As I look back over the last 16, 17 months, I am particularly proud that some of those most basic principles that we have worked for in changing how Washington works are being honored. One of the most basic, of course, is forcing Washington to live within its means.

Of course, the deficit today is at its lowest level in 15 years, having dropped \$60 to \$70 billion because we have lived and worked hard to bring down that deficit, doing something that every family does, working to live within our means. We have twice sent now to the President real welfare reform that emphasizes work and family, responsibility. Unfortunately, he vetoed it. And also we sent to the President a plan which would lower taxes for working families. In my district for a family with children, that would mean almost an extra \$1,000 in take-home pay had the President signed that bill rather than vetoing it. We also, because of our concern for seniors, people like my mom and dad that are on Medicare, we are working of course to prevent Medicare from going bankrupt. Everyone knows Washington does nothing and Medicare goes bankrupt in 2001.

We sent to the President this past year a plan to save Medicare, to keep it solvent for the next generation. In fact we increased funding for Medicare by 62 percent, \$724 billion, as part of that plan and would have kept Medicare solvent until the next generation. Unfortunately, partisan Presidential politics got in the way and the President vetoed that plan.

But also not only are we working to keep our commitment to change how Washington works by working to balance the budget, to save Medicare, to reform welfare and, of course, lower taxes for working families, but we are also honoring the commitment to look out for local concerns.

I am particularly pleased that in the last few months alone, this House has passed and sent to the Senate as well as sent to the President legislation that looks out for local concerns important to the State that I represent, the land of Lincoln, the State of Illinois. I was particularly pleased that back in February the President signed our legislation to redevelop the Joliet Arsenal, 24,000-acre military facility to redevelop it for conservation, a veterans' cemetery and job creation. It was a bipartisan project, a bipartisan priority. Because of bipartisanship we were successful in getting it signed into law. Of course now it is time to put the

money where our mouth is and to move forward and, of course, fund that priority.

I am particularly pleased that the House honored our request to provide \$18.4 million which will complete development of the veterans' cemetery at the Joliet Arsenal. In fact the VA says that if that legislation is signed into law that that funding would allow the cemetery to be opened by 1999.

In the Interior appropriations bill, thanks to the help of a lot of people including the gentleman from Illinois, SID YATES, and the Illinois delegation, we have \$3.35 million for continued development of the National Tall Grass Prairie. Redevelopment of the Joliet Arsenal is clearly our top conservation and veterans' priority for Illinois for many of us and I am pleased that we are making progress.

When it comes to crime which is so important to the south suburbs and the parts of the city of Chicago that I represent, we are also making some real progress. Last year the President signed our legislation which allowed Federal prison grant funds for the first time ever to be used for juvenile detention center construction and operation. In the appropriation bill that we are going to be debating today we provide \$680 million for prison grants, \$50 million more than the President asked for, and for the first time ever counties such as Will and Kankakee and La Salle, struggling to deal with gang problems, will now be able to apply for and use those funds for construction and operation of juvenile detention centers. That is an important issue.

We are looking out for local concerns. But one issue today I want to close with is something very important. Last Friday a number of my colleagues and I from Illinois went home to a flood-devastated Chicago region. In fact I have a photo of a news clipping here. Thousands and thousands of homes were flooded in the Chicago region. Many of those homes saw severe damage.

REVIEW OF 104TH CONGRESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker's announced policy of May 12, 1995, the gentleman from Illinois [Mr. DURBIN] is recognized during morning business for 5 minutes.

Mr. DURBIN. Mr. Speaker, I think for a moment here I would like to reflect on what the 104th Congress has not done. This 104th Congress, led by the Republicans for the first time in 4 decades, has not done several things. We can applaud the fact that they have not done a few things. For example, the Gingrich-Dole-Lott plan to cut \$270 billion out of Medicare to provide tax breaks for wealthy people, thank goodness President Clinton was there to veto that effort. Because for a lot of senior citizens it would have meant higher premiums and for families it would have meant a greater economic burden. A lot of those families are middle-income families struggling to get